arXiv:1405.6351v2 [gr-qc] 12 Jun 2014

Comment on "Scalar Einstein-Aether theory"

Ted Jacobson*[1,2] and Antony J. Speranza†[1,2]

[1] *Maryland Center for Fundamental Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA*
[2] *Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, 31 Caroline Street North, Waterloo, ON N2L 2Y5, Canada*

June 12, 2014

A recent paper [1] studies a modification of Einstein-aether theory [2] in which the aether vector is restricted, at the level of the action, to be the gradient of a scalar, $u_a = \nabla_a S$, constrained to be a timelike unit vector. In this comment we note that this scalar version of Einstein-aether theory, referred to here as S-theory for brevity, is equivalent to the projectable version of the IR limit of Hořava gravity [3] when the potential $V(S)$ is constant. The covariant formulation of projectable Hořava gravity provided by S-theory was first described by [4]. In this comment we briefly explain the relation to nonprojectable Hořava gravity and to Einstein-aether theory.

It has previously been shown [4, 5] that the nonprojectable version of IR-Hořava gravity is obtained from the Einstein-aether action with the aether required to be hypersurface orthogonal. That is, in the action the aether is of the form $u_a = N\nabla_a T$, where T is a scalar field and N is chosen so that u_a has unit norm. We refer to this as T-theory. The unit norm constraint may be implemented either by setting $N = (g^{ab}\nabla_a T \nabla_b T)^{-1/2}$ in the action, or by a constraint term $\lambda(u^a u_a - 1)$ with Lagrange multiplier λ. The scalar T serves to define the preferred foliation that is central to Hořava gravity, and N corresponds to the lapse function. Since the T equation of motion follows from the other equations of motion, T may be set equal to the coordinate time in the action, resulting in the action for nonprojectable IR-Hořava gravity [5].

S-theory is equivalent to T-theory with the further restriction that $N\,\mathrm{d}T = \mathrm{d}S$ for some scalar field S. This restriction implies that $N = N(T)$, i.e. N depends on spacetime only via T, which is precisely the projectability condition for the lapse function. The unit constraint then cannot be solved by a choice of N, since for a generic T the required N would not be just a function of T, but rather must be imposed by the constraint term $\lambda(\nabla^a S \nabla_a S - 1)$. In this formulation of projectable Hořava gravity, the T reparameterization

*jacobson@umd.edu
†asperanz@umd.edu

symmetry under which $\mathrm{d}S = N\mathrm{d}T$ is invariant reduces to the shift symmetry $S \to S + \text{const}$.

The general action considered in Ref. [1] includes a potential term $V(S)$. This is incompatible with the S shift symmetry unless V is constant, in which case it is just a cosmological constant. When a non-constant potential of this sort is included the theory is therefore no longer dynamically equivalent to projectable IR Hořava gravity.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported in part by the NSF under grant No. PHY-0903572 and by Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through Industry Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Research & Innovation.

References

[1] Z. Haghani, T. Harko, H. R. Sepangi, and S. Shahidi. Scalar Einstein-Aether theory. Apr. 2014. arXiv:1404.7689v1[gr-qc].

[2] T. Jacobson and D. Mattingly. Gravity with a dynamical preferred frame. *Phys. Rev. D*, 64(2):024028, June 2001. arXiv:gr-qc/0007031v4, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.64.024028.

[3] P. Hořava. Quantum gravity at a Lifshitz point. *Phys. Rev. D*, 79(8):084008, Apr. 2009. arXiv:0901.3775v2[hep-th], doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.79.084008.

[4] D. Blas, O. Pujolàs, and S. Sibiryakov. On the extra mode and inconsistency of Hořava gravity. *J. High Energy Phys.*, 2009(10):029, Oct. 2009. arXiv:0906.3046v2, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/10/029.

[5] T. Jacobson. Extended Hořava gravity and Einstein-aether theory. *Phys. Rev. D*, 81(10):101502, May 2010. arXiv:1001.4823v3[gr-qc], doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.81.101502.